

House of Commons Standing Committee on Finance – Pre-budget Consultations 2013

This brief is submitted by:		Manitoba Aerospace Association Inc.			
an organization	Organization name:	:			
or					
an individual	Name:				
Topic: Innovation and commer	rcialization				
*Recommendation 1: Pl	ease provide a s	short summary of your recommendation.			
recommendations of the J resource development, co technology investment, etc.	lenkins and Emers Illaborative R&D, in c).	ry for Canada and continue to implement the son reports, (e.g supply chain and human mproved market access, strategic/targetted			
	ng) work. Use the	ng-term systems integration and engineering RNC, NSERC and other innovation programs to the sector.			
•	•	us, please indicate the expected cost or savings of your e period of time to which the expected cost or savings is			
other: please provide your estim	nated amount Po	olicy application of existing			
Immediately					
Federal funding: Please provide a precise indication of how the federal government could fund your recommendation. For example, indicate what federal spending should be reallocated, what federal tax measure(s) should be introduced, eliminated or changed, etc.					
This is primarily a policy regranting councils, DND pro		n how to prioritize existing program funding (e.g. SRED) etc.			

Intended beneficiaries: Please indicate the groups of individuals, the sector(s) and/or the regions that would
benefit by implementation of your recommendation.
Aerospace and defence enterprises across Canada, both large and small, post-secondary educational institutions, research and innovation organizations, and Aboriginal training
institutions.
General impacts: Depending on the nature of your recommendation, please indicate how the standard of living
of Canadians would be improved, jobs would be created, people would be trained, etc.
Aerospace is an expanding global industry with an estimate 34,000 new aircraft worth \$4.5T
required over the next 20 years in the commercial sector itself. Maintaining our current market position alone will increase total sales from \$22.2B (2009) to \$31.7B (2020) and
employment from 79,000 to 112,400 FTEs (Deloitte 2010). However, the industry is intensely
competitive, with the governments of established and emerging aerospace nations
aggressively promoting and supporting their own companies.
Topic: Innovation and commercialization
innovation and commercialization
Recommendation 2: Please provide a short summary of your recommendation.
Recognize and develop the capabilities of the West as a third key aerospace cluster for
Canada.
Canada and its economy are regional by nature, with distinct and comparative advantages
that can be exploited for the benefits of all. MR&O, composites and engine testing and
certification are major strengths that should be leveraged to expand Canada©s aerospace
industry.
Expected cost or savings: From the pull-down menus, please indicate the expected cost or savings of your
recommendation to the federal government and the period of time to which the expected cost or savings is
related.
related.
related. unknown
unknown

measure(s) should be introduced, eliminated or changed, etc.
As Canada creates and revises its aerospace policy and strategy (e.g. SADI, NRC, major DND procurements such as FWSAR, fighter replacement, etc) we should look to develop key capabilities.
Intended beneficiaries: Please indicate the groups of individuals, the sector(s) and/or the regions that would benefit by implementation of your recommendation.
The aerospace industry in general and Western companies specifically.
General impacts: Depending on the nature of your recommendation, please indicate how the standard of living of Canadians would be improved, jobs would be created, people would be trained, etc.
Growth in the high value-added, export oriented aerospace industry will create long-term, knowledge based jobs that are not easily out-sourced to low cost countries.
Topic: Please select from the pull-down menu
Recommendation 3: Please provide a short summary of your recommendation.
Equalize regional development initiatives to support all Cdn companies and create a level playing field for aerospace and defence companies in Canada, especially SMEs. Differences in regional development agencies and programs can distort the market place and create significant advantage, or disadvantage, to companies in other regions.

Federal funding: Please provide a precise indication of how the federal government could fund your recommendation. For example, indicate what federal spending should be reallocated, what federal tax

\$10 million-\$99.9 million	v	
Immediately	v	
recommendation. For example, indicate measure(s) should be introduced, elimin Establish direct innovation suppo other regions such as the West (SAlternatively, discontinue incentive	se indication of how the federal government could fund your what federal spending should be reallocated, what federal tax nated or changed, etc. ort programs similar to the Atlantic Innovation Fund (\$401) \$40+M due to proportional size of the economy). ore programs that cannot be offered in other regions to es that don't have access to regional supports and	M) in
Intended beneficiaries: Please indicate benefit by implementation of your reco	the groups of individuals, the sector(s) and/or the regions that wo	ould
Aerospace industries, especially s	SMEs in Western Canada	
	ture of your recommendation, please indicate how the standard of would be created, people would be trained, etc.	living
Innovation would be promoted an	nd enhanced equitably across the country.	

Expected cost or savings: From the pull-down menus, please indicate the expected cost or savings of your recommendation to the federal government and the period of time to which the expected cost or savings is

related.

use this page if you wish to pro	vide more expla	ination about yo	our recommend	iation(s).	

