



HOUSE OF COMMONS  
CHAMBRE DES COMMUNES  
CANADA

# **SPECIAL EXAMINATION REPORT— NATIONAL CAPITAL COMMISSION, OF THE 2017 FALL REPORTS OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF CANADA**

**Report of the Standing Committee on Public Accounts**

**The Honourable Kevin Sorenson, Chair**

**JUNE 2018  
42<sup>nd</sup> PARLIAMENT, 1<sup>st</sup> SESSION**

---

Published under the authority of the Speaker of the House of Commons

**SPEAKER'S PERMISSION**

The proceedings of the House of Commons and its Committees are hereby made available to provide greater public access. The parliamentary privilege of the House of Commons to control the publication and broadcast of the proceedings of the House of Commons and its Committees is nonetheless reserved. All copyrights therein are also reserved.

Reproduction of the proceedings of the House of Commons and its Committees, in whole or in part and in any medium, is hereby permitted provided that the reproduction is accurate and is not presented as official. This permission does not extend to reproduction, distribution or use for commercial purpose of financial gain. Reproduction or use outside this permission or without authorization may be treated as copyright infringement in accordance with the *Copyright Act*. Authorization may be obtained on written application to the Office of the Speaker of the House of Commons.

Reproduction in accordance with this permission does not constitute publication under the authority of the House of Commons. The absolute privilege that applies to the proceedings of the House of Commons does not extend to these permitted reproductions. Where a reproduction includes briefs to a Standing Committee of the House of Commons, authorization for reproduction may be required from the authors in accordance with the *Copyright Act*.

Nothing in this permission abrogates or derogates from the privileges, powers, immunities and rights of the House of Commons and its Committees. For greater certainty, this permission does not affect the prohibition against impeaching or questioning the proceedings of the House of Commons in courts or otherwise. The House of Commons retains the right and privilege to find users in contempt of Parliament if a reproduction or use is not in accordance with this permission.

Also available on the House of Commons website  
at the following address: [www.ourcommons.ca](http://www.ourcommons.ca)

**SPECIAL EXAMINATION REPORT—NATIONAL  
CAPITAL COMMISSION, OF THE 2017 FALL  
REPORTS OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL  
OF CANADA**

**Report of the Standing Committee on  
Public Accounts**

**Hon. Kevin Sorenson  
Chair**

**JUNE 2018**

**42<sup>nd</sup> PARLIAMENT, 1<sup>st</sup> SESSION**

## **NOTICE TO READER**

### **Reports from committee presented to the House of Commons**

Presenting a report to the House is the way a committee makes public its findings and recommendations on a particular topic. Substantive reports on a subject-matter study usually contain a synopsis of the testimony heard, the recommendations made by the committee, as well as the reasons for those recommendations.

# STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

## CHAIR

Hon. Kevin Sorenson

## VICE-CHAIRS

Alexandra Mendès

David Christopherson

## MEMBERS

Chandra Arya

Shaun Chen

Gérard Deltell

Paul Lefebvre

Steven MacKinnon\*

Rémi Massé

Joyce Murray\*

Alexander Nuttall

Jean Yip

## OTHER MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT WHO PARTICIPATED

Sean Casey

Craig Fergus

Francis Scarpaleggia

Earl Dreeshen

Allistair MacGregor

Brad Trost

## CLERK OF THE COMMITTEE

Angela Crandall

## LIBRARY OF PARLIAMENT

### Parliamentary Information and Research Service

André Léonard, Analyst

Dillan Theckedath, Analyst

---

\* Non-voting member, pursuant to Standing Order 104(5).



# **THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS**

has the honour to present its

## **FORTY-EIGHTH REPORT**

Pursuant to its mandate under Standing Order 108(3)(g), the Committee has studied the Special Examination Report - National Capital Commission, of the 2017 Fall Reports of the Auditor General of Canada and has agreed to report the following:





# SPECIAL EXAMINATION REPORT—NATIONAL CAPITAL COMMISSION, OF THE 2017 FALL REPORTS OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF CANADA

---

## INTRODUCTION

The National Capital Commission [NCC or the Corporation] is a federal Crown corporation that was created in 1959 and reports to Parliament through the Minister of Canadian Heritage.<sup>1</sup> According to the *National Capital Act*, “the Corporation’s mandate is to help develop, conserve, and improve the National Capital Region in keeping with its national significance as the seat of the Government of Canada.”<sup>2</sup>

To that end, the NCC is responsible for managing over 500 square kilometres of land and over 1,700 properties, which include the following official residences of Canada:<sup>3</sup>

- Rideau Hall (residence of the Governor General);
- 24 Sussex Drive and Harrington Lake (residences of the Prime Minister);
- Stornoway (residence of the Leader of the Opposition);
- The Farm (residence of the Speaker of the House of Commons); and
- 7 Rideau Gate (official guest house of the Canadian government).<sup>4</sup>

The NCC’s 400 employees fulfil “its mandate through the following activities:

- setting the long-term urban planning direction for federal lands in Canada’s Capital Region;

---

1 Office of the Auditor General of Canada (OAG), Special Examination Report—[National Capital Commission](#), of the 2017 Fall Reports of the Auditor General of Canada, para. 1.

2 Ibid.

3 Ibid.

4 Ibid., para. 5.



- guiding and controlling the use and development of federal lands in Canada’s Capital Region;
- managing, conserving, and protecting the Corporation’s assets (including Gatineau Park, the Greenbelt,<sup>5</sup> real property, and other assets, such as bridges, pathways, and parkways); and
- maintaining heritage sites in Canada’s Capital Region, such as the official residences and commemorative sites.”<sup>6</sup>

Though primarily financed through federal funding, the NCC also “earns revenue from other sources such as rent from its properties and user fees.”<sup>7</sup>

On 19 June 2017, the OAG issued a special examination report whose purpose was “to determine whether the systems and practices [the OAG] selected for examination at the National Capital Commission were providing it with reasonable assurance that its assets were safeguarded and controlled, its resources were managed economically and efficiently, and its operations were carried out effectively as required by section 138” of the *Financial Administration Act*.<sup>8</sup>

On 3 May 2018, the House of Commons Standing Committee on Public Accounts (the Committee) held a hearing on this audit. From the OAG were Michael Ferguson, Auditor General of Canada and Margaret Haire, Principal; from the NCC were Marc Seaman, Chairperson, Board of Directors and Mark Kristmanson, Chief Executive Officer.<sup>9</sup>

## FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

According to the OAG, the NCC “has a Board of Directors (the Board) of 15 members, including the Chairperson as well as the Chief Executive Officer. The other 13 members are appointed from the various regions of Canada. Of these Board members, five are from the National Capital Region and eight are from other regions. In 2016, the Corporation made a key change to its governance structure to provide for the ex officio

---

5 Ibid., para. 3. The OAG describes the Greenbelt as an “area of mostly undeveloped land surrounding the City of Ottawa’s urban core.”

6 Ibid., paras. 2–3.

7 Ibid., para. 6.

8 Ibid., para. 7. The special examination report was originally issued to the National Capital Commission on 19 June 2017.

9 House of Commons Standing Committee on Public Accounts, *Evidence*, 1<sup>st</sup> Session, 42<sup>nd</sup> Parliament, 3 May 2018, [Meeting 96](#).

and non-voting participation of the mayors of Ottawa and Gatineau at Board meetings. The Corporation holds public Board meetings five times a year.”<sup>10</sup>

### A. Corporate Management Practices

Overall, the OAG found that the NCC “had in place good corporate governance practices.”<sup>11</sup> However, although the Corporation “had adequate systems and practices in place for strategic planning and performance measurement and reporting,” the OAG also found that it had weaknesses in terms of risk management.<sup>12</sup>

The OAG noted that per its 2007 audit of the NCC, it found that “the Corporation did not have an integrated risk management framework. Management developed an enterprise risk management framework, which was approved in 2008, and also drafted a corporate policy.”<sup>13</sup>

For the 2017 audit, the OAG “found that the Board and management completed annual strategic risk assessment exercises. These exercises included identifying risks to achieving the Corporation’s strategic objectives and developing strategies to mitigate those risks. However, there was no consistent and integrated process to identify and evaluate risks across the organization.”<sup>14</sup> Consequently, according to the OAG, “the Board and management did not prepare a comprehensive inventory of all the risks it faced. Also, management did not establish its risk tolerance levels; therefore, it did not clearly define the level of risk that the Corporation was willing to accept when making decisions.”<sup>15</sup>

The OAG contends that such weaknesses “matter because an integrated risk management process and a comprehensive inventory of risks are needed to manage risk effectively. Without them, it is difficult for management and the Board to get the assurance that all significant operational risks have been identified, analyzed, and managed in terms of their potential effects on the Corporation’s activities and ability to

---

10 OAG, Special Examination Report—[National Capital Commission](#), of the 2017 Fall Reports of the Auditor General of Canada, para. 13.

11 Ibid., para. 16.

12 Ibid., para. 17.

13 Ibid., para. 22. For additional information, see OAG, [Chapter 6—Conservation of Federal Official Residences](#), of the 2008 May Report of the Auditor General of Canada.

14 Ibid., para. 23.

15 Ibid.



meet its mandate.”<sup>16</sup> Furthermore, these “elements would also support decision making by management and the Board.”<sup>17</sup>

Therefore, the OAG recommended that the NCC “should establish and approve a comprehensive enterprise risk management framework that

- sets risk tolerances;
- assesses strategic and operational risks through a consistent and integrated process; and
- provides comprehensive risk information for decision making.”<sup>18</sup>

In response, the NCC stated in its Detailed Action Plan that it agreed with the recommendation and has already implemented “an enterprise risk management framework that provides comprehensive risk information for decision-making.”<sup>19</sup> As part of the framework, an “inventory of operational and corporate risks has been created which will be regularly updated;”<sup>20</sup> furthermore, in order to “better inform decision making processes, risk training has been provided to staff on how to identify and rate risks as part of Executive Management Committee and Board of Directors submissions.”<sup>21</sup>

When questioned about this framework, Marc Seaman, Chairperson, Board of Directors, NCC, explained the following:

Every decision will look at pros and cons and have risks. What are the impacts of those risks? What are the mitigations of the risks? That way, at least at the board level, we are able to make an informed decision based on the various risks associated with each of the decisions.<sup>22</sup>

To help ensure the success of the new framework, the Committee recommends:

---

16 Ibid., para. 24.

17 Ibid.

18 Ibid., para. 25.

19 National Capital Commission, [Detailed Action Plan](#), p. 1.

20 Ibid.

21 Ibid.

22 House of Commons Standing Committee on Public Accounts, *Evidence*, 1<sup>st</sup> Session, 42<sup>nd</sup> Parliament, 3 May 2018, [Meeting 96](#), 1620.

## **Recommendation 1 – Regarding an enterprise risk management system**

**That, within 120 days of the presenting of this report, the *National Capital Commission* provide the House of Commons Standing Committee on Public Accounts with a report outlining the progress of the Corporation’s new enterprise risk management framework and how it sets risk tolerances, assesses strategic and operational risks through a consistent and integrated process, and provides comprehensive risk information for decision-making.**

### **B. Management of National Capital Region operations**

According to the OAG, the NCC “collaborates with the cities of Ottawa and Gatineau as well as several other municipalities on many initiatives, including public programming, planning, and property and land stewardship.”<sup>23</sup> Additionally, the Corporation seeks feedback from both stakeholders and the public on its various plans for the National Capital Region.<sup>24</sup> Moreover, “the Corporation implemented a business optimization project, which made improvements in its internal processes, service delivery, and information systems. As part of this project, the Corporation replaced its financial system with a broader management information system.”<sup>25</sup>

Overall, the OAG “found that the Corporation had established a framework and completed consultations at various levels in the development of its plans for the National Capital Region”<sup>26</sup> and “had good systems and practices in place to manage its capital projects.”<sup>27</sup> In contrast, the OAG found that although the NCC had “appropriate systems and practices in place for the life cycle maintenance program for its assets, the oversight of its rental portfolio, and the management of its environmental risks,” it had “a significant deficiency in asset maintenance.”<sup>28</sup>

According to the OAG, NCC’s management “carried out its identified priority asset maintenance work on the basis of the available resources. Because its resources were limited, the Corporation had to delay maintenance on other assets. The Corporation’s recent data on asset conditions indicated that 10 percent of its assets were in poor or

---

23 OAG, Special Examination Report—[National Capital Commission](#), of the 2017 Fall Reports of the Auditor General of Canada, para. 29.

24 Ibid., para. 30.

25 Ibid., para. 31.

26 Ibid., para. 33.

27 Ibid., para. 34.

28 Ibid., para. 35.



critical condition and 17 percent were in fair condition.”<sup>29</sup> These included official residences, heritage buildings, bridges, parkways, and shorelines, some of which are core to the NCC’s activities and generate revenue.<sup>30</sup>

The OAG noted that the NCC “Board and management identified and took steps to mitigate the risk that the resources were not sufficient to restore and maintain the Corporation’s assets and that the Corporation might not be able to safeguard its assets or meet its mandate in the future. However, as described in paragraph 18, the Board and management did not clearly describe this strategic risk in the annual corporate plan to inform the government of this issue, or describe the mitigation strategy and its related financial impact.”<sup>31</sup>

However, it should also be noted that by the end of the period covered by the audit, “management was finalizing a detailed asset-by-asset analysis to quantify the resources required to restore its deteriorating assets to a good condition and to identify the annual level of resources needed to maintain and preserve all its assets.”<sup>32</sup>

Consequently, the OAG recommended that the NCC “should develop a full range of options to address its strategic risk related to asset maintenance, based on a complete analysis of the resources needed to restore and maintain its assets. The Corporation should work with appropriate government entities, through the corporate planning process and other means, so that steps are taken to address this strategic risk.”<sup>33</sup>

In its Detailed Action Plan, the NCC stated that it agreed with the recommendation and that it has implemented “asset management plans to restore and maintain the Corporation’s assets to an appropriate level of condition.”<sup>34</sup> Moreover, in its 2018/2019 – 2022/2023 corporate plan, the NCC has “identified the need to address the condition of NCC infrastructure and assets as its number one priority over the 5-year period. Within this priority, the Corporation will:

- finalize asset condition reports to provide the foundation for long-term asset management plans;

---

29 Ibid., para. 36.

30 Ibid.

31 Ibid., para. 37.

32 Ibid.

33 Ibid., para. 39.

34 National Capital Commission, [Detailed Action Plan](#), p. 1.

- determine a governance structure and portfolio strategy;
- develop, on a priority basis, long-term asset management plans; and
- implement portfolio strategy and long-term asset management plans to progressively bring assets to an appropriate level of condition.”<sup>35</sup>

When questioned about this situation, Mark Kristmanson, Chief Executive Officer, NCC, explained the following:

About three years ago I focused our efforts on cataloguing and understanding the nature and extent of deferred maintenance across the large and diverse portfolio that Mr. Seaman described in his remarks. In 2016 and 2017, the commission undertook significant efforts to gather information related to all the different aspects of life-cycle processes, inspection activities, capital investments, building condition reports, and so forth, in order to amalgamate them into an integrated framework.

I am very pleased to report that the NCC completed this review prior to the deadline set in our action plan of March 31, 2018. We now have a 10-year recapitalization plan to restore and maintain these assets to an appropriate condition. With the additional \$55 million accorded by the government in budget 2018, we can now initiate this plan and address the highest priority demands. We feel this is a judicious investment at this time. It is proportional to our internal capacity to deliver on capital projects while allowing us to address the most immediate risks associated with the assets.<sup>36</sup>

To help ensure the NCC’s progress in this matter, the Committee recommends:

### **Recommendation 2 – Regarding addressing strategic risk**

**That, within 120 days of the presenting of this report, the *National Capital Commission* provide the House of Commons Standing Committee on Public Accounts with a report outlining its progress regarding A) the Corporation’s asset management plans; and B) the related steps pertaining to its 2018/2019 – 2022/2023 corporate plan, as stated in the Detailed Action Plan tabled on 30 April 2018.**

## **ADDITIONAL COMMENTARY**

Although not specifically studied in the OAG’s special examination, the Committee was very concerned with the Corporation’s responses regarding the status of the planned

---

35 Ibid., p. 2.

36 House of Commons Standing Committee on Public Accounts, *Evidence*, 1<sup>st</sup> Session, 42<sup>nd</sup> Parliament, 3 May 2018, [Meeting 96](#), 1540.



renovations to 24 Sussex Drive, one of the official residences of the Prime Minister. As a result, the Committee will request this information from the Minister of Canadian Heritage; it may also bring this matter to the attention of the House of Commons Standing Committee on Canadian Heritage.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Committee concludes that although the NCC had sound systems and practices in place, it did not do a good job regarding asset maintenance, including risk management. It has, however, implemented corrective measures to address these deficiencies. To help ensure the Corporation continues to do so, the Committee has made two recommendations, so that ultimately, the National Capital Region is, and will continue to be, a monument to the greatness of Canada and all Canadians.

## SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED ACTIONS AND ASSOCIATED DEADLINES

Table 1 – Summary of Recommended Actions and Associated Deadlines

Recommendation	Recommended Action	Deadline
Recommendation 1	<p><i>National Capital Commission</i> should provide the House of Commons Standing Committee on Public Accounts with a report outlining the progress of the Corporation’s new enterprise risk management framework and how it sets risk tolerances, assesses strategic and operational risks through a consistent and integrated process, and provides comprehensive risk information for decision-making.</p>	Within 120 days of the presenting of this report
Recommendation 2	<p>NCC should provide the Committee with a report outlining its progress regarding A) the Corporation’s asset management plans; and B) the related steps pertaining to its 2018/2019 – 2022/2023 corporate plan, as stated in the Detailed Action Plan tabled on 30 April 2018.</p>	Within 120 days of the presenting of this report



## APPENDIX A LIST OF WITNESSES

---

<b>Organizations and Individuals</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Meeting</b>
<b>National Capital Commission</b> Marc Seaman, Chairperson, Board of Directors Mark Kristmanson, Chief Executive Officer	2018/05/03	96
<b>Office of the Auditor General</b> Michael Ferguson, Auditor General of Canada Margaret Haire, Principal		



## REQUEST FOR GOVERNMENT RESPONSE

Pursuant to Standing Order 109, the Committee requests that the government table a comprehensive response to this Report.

A copy of the relevant *Minutes of Proceedings* ([Meetings Nos. 96 and 99](#)) is tabled.

Respectfully submitted,

Hon. Kevin Sorenson, MP  
Chair

